

Burning mouth syndrome: An update

Huann Lan Tan^{1,2} and Tara Renton³

Abstract

Background: Burning mouth syndrome (BMS) is a chronic and debilitating oral pain of the normal oral mucosa. It mainly affects women in their fifth to seventh decade. Its aetiopathogenesis remains unclear and is probably of multifactorial origin, with increasing evidence that BMS may be a neuropathic disorder. BMS is classified as an idiopathic (nociceptive) orofacial pain with or without somatosensory changes by International Classification of Orofacial Pain (ICOP 2020). The diagnosis of BMS, having excluded 'oral burning mouth symptoms', has evolved from basic intraoral exclusion screening to extensive clinical and laboratory investigations, which include the screening of comorbidities and other chronic pains and somatosensory testing. There is no standardised treatment in managing BMS, but a proposed combination of supportive and pharmacological treatment has been recommended.

Aim: To review the current concepts of BMS definitions, classifications, aetiopathogenesis, diagnosis techniques, and evidence-based treatments in managing BMS patients.

Conclusion: As BMS is a diagnosis by exclusion, thus a stratified approach is required for assessment of patients presenting BMS. A BMS diagnosis protocol is desired using a standardised screening to distinguish BMS from patient's presenting with 'oral burning symptoms', and evaluation of comorbid chronic pain disorders or other medical comorbidities, which will include haematological, fungal, salivary flow, and qualitative sensory testing. Axis II and other additional quantitative sensory testing may further elucidate the causes of this condition. For future BMS prediction and prevention, will be based upon research on the relationship between other chronic pain disorders and familial history, environmental and genetic information.

Keywords

aetiopathogenesis, burning mouth syndrome, classification, diagnosis, treatment

Date received: 20 August 2020; Received revised October 3, 2020; accepted: 11 October 2020

Introduction

Burning mouth syndrome (BMS) remains a challenging disorder for health care providers. It is a debilitating condition for the patients and is known for its persistent moderate to severe intensity of burning pain, with a pain score of 8/10.¹ BMS is probably of multifactorial origin with uncertain aetiopathogenesis. 73% of BMS patients experienced tingling, scalding, tender, or numbness of the oral mucosa.² Various terminologies have been used to describe the burning sensation in the mouth, especially on the tongue such as glossodynia, sore tongue, burning tongue, stomatopyrosis, glossopyrosis, and oral dysaesthesia. The most common site for BMS is the tongue (anterior two-third or tip), followed by the hard palate, gingivae, lower

lips, and pharynx. BMS usually occurs bilaterally and symmetrically.³ BMS may be associated with other comorbidities and is a diagnosis by exclusion with no objective clinical or laboratory findings. The complexity in diagnosing BMS increased the difficulties for both clinicians and

¹ King's College London, London, UK

² Dentistry, The National University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

³ Dentistry, Oral & Craniofacial Science, King's College London, London, UK

Corresponding author:

Tara Renton, Department of Oral Surgery, King's College London Dental Institute, 4th Floor, Denmark Hill Campus, Bessemer Road, London SE5 9RS, UK.

Email: tara.renton@kcl.ac.uk



patients to achieve a curative treatment for it.^{1,4-6} Advances in the research of BMS aetiopathogenesis have led to two clinical forms, which are 'Primary BMS' and 'Secondary BMS'. Primary BMS (BMS) is described as essential idiopathic BMS for which no organic local or systemic causes can be identified. Secondary BMS (oral burning symptoms) is a result from local or systemic pathological conditions or factors such as nutritional deficiencies, dental-related trauma, hormonal (menopause), endocrine (diabetes mellitus) changes, medications related and allergy or hypersensitivity reactions.² There is debate about the appropriateness of BMS being called a syndrome as the condition does not fulfil syndromic criteria⁷ and perhaps should be referred to as burning mouth disorder (primary and secondary).

Classification

Over the past decade, the definition and classification of BMS have evolved in many ways that were dependant on its clinical features and response to treatments. Multivariate analysis has grouped 'stomatodynia' as a 'persistent idiopathic orofacial pain' based on their homogenous topography features.⁸ The International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP)⁹ has classified 'glossodynia and sore mouth' as chronic pain and defined it 'as a burning pain in the tongue or other oral mucous membranes'. In 2016, IASP further described BMS as a 'chronic intraoral burning sensation that has no identifiable cause either local or systemic condition or disease'.¹⁰ The International Headache Society (IHS) in its classification of headache disorders (ICHD-3) has categorised BMS as 'painful cranial neuropathies' and defined BMS as 'an intraoral burning or dysaesthesia sensation recurring daily for more than 2 hours per day over more than 3 months, without clinically evident causative lesions'.¹¹ The International Classification of Orofacial Pain (ICOP) is a collaborative effort between established orofacial and head pain groups that conformed to a universally accepted classification of orofacial pain disorders or diseases.¹² ICOP has classified BMS as an idiopathic orofacial pain and described it as 'an intraoral burning or dysaesthesia sensation, recurring daily for more than 2 hours per day for more than 3 months, without evident causative lesions on clinical examination and investigation. ICOP has further suggested the used of somatosensory assessment to subgroup BMS into 'with or without somatosensory changes'.¹² In this review, the author will define any intraoral burning sensation that does not fit into ICOP definition as 'oral burning symptoms'

Epidemiology

Published prevalence data on BMS are still inadequate and are of poor quality in describing the impact of BMS in the population. The implementation of more precise BMS diagnosis criteria has narrowed the prevalence range

between 0.1% to 3.7%.¹³⁻¹⁶ BMS predominantly affects middle-aged and older women, which are in the peri and post-menopausal stage^{3,13,14} and the female to male ratio is 7:1.^{1,2} The prevalence of BMS increased remarkably at the age after 60.^{1,13-15,17}

Typically, BMS symptoms may persist for months or years without a period of remission. An earlier study has reported that 50% of BMS patients showed partial or complete remission with or without any treatment, and 20% achieved complete spontaneous remission within 6 to 7 years of onset.¹⁸ Contrary to these findings, latter studies reported only 3% to 4% of patients have complete spontaneous remission within 5 to 6 years after the onset of BMS clinical manifestation, and less than 50% showed improvement of symptoms with treatments.^{19,20} Reviews had reported a prevalence of 20% to 30% of patients with chronic pain to have suicidal intention in the past.^{21,22} There were two case reports on BMS patients attempted suicide due to the unbearable mental stress from pain and feeling hopeless in their life.^{23,24}

Clinical symptoms

Along with the burning pain, BMS patients complain of accompanying symptoms such as xerostomia, alteration in taste, poor sleep quality, health issues, and psychological disorders. The onset of BMS can be spontaneous or triggered by a precipitating event such as dental procedures, medications, foods, and stressful life events.²⁵⁻²⁷ There have been reports that spicy or hot food and beverages, psychosocial stress and fatigue, and speech, increased pain intensity.^{18,25} Patients have claimed that by chewing gums, sucking sweets or lozenges, drinking cold beverages, and relaxation and recreation activities were able to reduce the pain.^{2,18,25} The pain is usually at its lowest in the morning upon awake, and once aggravated, it continuously reaching the maximum intensity by late evening.^{25,28} However, the pain seldom interferes with sleep.

46% to 67% of BMS patients complain of subjective dryness of the mouth that affect their quality of life, with no signs of hyposalivation.^{14,19,29,30} BMS patients exhibit a marked decrease in salivary flow rates³¹⁻³³ and higher viscosity³¹ of the unstimulated whole (parotid, submandibular and sublingual gland) saliva in relative to control. The unstimulated (resting) whole saliva (UWS) was accumulated by expectorating (spit or drool) the saliva into a wide test tube for an average of 5 minutes. Salivary flow rate per min was calculated from the total weight of collected saliva over time. UWS flow values were approximately same for various collection techniques such as drooling or draining, spitting and suction.^{34,35} The submandibular gland is innervated by chorda tympani and continues to the submandibular ganglion by joining with the lingual nerve. It contributes 60 to 67% of UWS secretion,³⁶ with mucous as its primary secretion. The findings from the above studies and the relation of the submandibular gland and its

Table 1. Possible causes of dry mouth symptom.

Types of medications	Diseases and infections	Medical treatments	Habitual/Psychology
Tricyclic antidepressant	Autoimmune disorders: Sjogren's syndrome, Systemic Lupus Erythematosus, HIV/AIDS, Rheumatoid arthritis Endocrine/hormones: Diabetes, Hypothyroidism, Hypertension Nervous system Parkinson's disease, Stroke, Alzheimer's disease, Damage of nerve or trauma to the head and neck region that affect the perceived oral sensation Anaemia Eating disorders Anorexia, Bulimia, Dehydration Infections: Mumps, Sinusitis	Radiotherapy	Smoking
Antipsychotic		Chemotherapy	Tobacco chewing
Antihypertensive			Mouth breather/Sleep apnoea
Antihistamine			Stress
Decongestants			Anxiety
Benzodiazepine			Depression
Bronchodilators			
Diuretics			
Muscle relaxants			
Steroids			
Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory			

nervous innervation, may suggest the possibility of dysfunction chorda tympani and/or lingual nerve contributes to the reduce submandibular gland secretion in BMS patients. There was no difference between BMS patients and control to stimulate whole saliva (SWS) flow rate.^{32,37} These studies results showed that majority of the salivary glands continue to respond adequately upon stimulation. Parotid gland contributes 50% of the stimulated whole saliva.³⁶ Its secretion is purely serous, and its autonomic innervation is by the glossopharyngeal nerve. The contribution of sublingual glands to unstimulated and stimulated whole saliva is low.³⁶ A study performing scintigraphic imaging of BMS patients' (with and without hyposalivation) parotid and submandibular gland reported no significant difference in the functions³² of BMS patients.

Xerostomia in BMS patients may be associated with the consequences of other systemic diseases, and the use of medications (Table 1).³⁷⁻³⁹ A meta-analysis of 47 studies reported that salivary flow rate was negatively affected with increased in age. UWS, and specific submandibular and sublingual saliva flow rate were significantly reduced in older adults, but parotid and minor salivary gland were not affected by age.⁴⁰ There was no influence of age and the use of drugs on salivary flow.⁴⁰ The ageing process may contribute and enhances the perceived oral dryness, as a majority of BMS patients are aged 60 and above.^{40,41} Menopausal and post-menopausal females have reduced UWS flow rate too.⁴²

BMS patients may perceive the dry mouth effect due to the reduction of UWS that plays an essential role in lubricating and protecting the oral mucosa.^{29,43} The high viscosity of the UWS may cause subjective frothy and sticky, uncomfortable sensation.³⁷ The lack of mucous concentration from parotid gland during the SWS may contribute to the subjective sensation of discomfort rough oral mucosa surface.⁴⁴

The salivary secretory IgA (SIgA) concentration of BMS patient showed no difference from the control group, but BMS patients have significant lower SIgA secretion rate in a minute. This may imply decrease immunity in BMS patients.³¹ In responding to stress, the α -amylase activity was elevated in BMS patients. BMS patients have twice higher α -amylase activity than control, that explained by the high-stress occurrence in BMS patients.³¹ Sodium (Na), total protein, albumin, IgA, IgG, IgM and lysozyme were significantly increased in BMS patient except for potassium (K).³³ Proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-2 and IL-6 were significantly increased in the whole saliva of BMS patients in comparison to healthy control and correlate with disease severity. These inflammatory cytokines can act as biological markers on the development and therapy response for BMS.⁴⁵

Saliva and taste enable each other in which both the transportation and dissolving of gustatory stimulants were dependent on salivary flow.³³ Approximately 70% of patients reported taste alteration such as dysgeusia and

Table 2. Comorbidities related to BMS.

Medical comorbidities	Examples
Psychiatric	Anxiety, depression, panic attacks, post-traumatic stress disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder.
Pain	Low back pain, neck and shoulder pain, headaches/migraines, temporomandibular joint disorders/myofascial pain.
Extraoral dysaesthesia	Dry eyes, skin, genital, eyes other than dryness.
Functional somatic syndromes	Irritable bowel syndrome, Helicobacter pylori infection, fibromyalgia, chronic fatigue syndrome.
Others	Stressors, tinnitus, palpitation.

‘phantom taste’^{3,30} and was thought to be related to the onset of a burning sensation.⁴⁶ BMS patients often complained of metallic and bitter taste in their mouth, and reduced taste sensitivity for salty and sweet.³⁰ Repeated topical application of bupivacaine reduced the pain, but it increased gustatory complaints in BMS patients.⁴⁷ The decreased in the gustatory sensitivities of the tongue in an electrogustometric test on the dorsal surface of the tongue has proposed degeneration of the chorda tympani nerve that leads to trigeminal neuropathy or glossopharyngeal nerve inhibition.⁴⁸ A chemogustometry whole mouth study reported a significant difference in taste disturbances for all four-basic taste between BMS patients and healthy controls.³³ However, Imura et al. reported no significant difference of sweet, salty, umami, and bitter taste between them, but the threshold for sour taste was significantly higher in BMS.^{26,31} The taste threshold was independent of dry mouth, pain, or subjective taste alteration symptoms.³³ Disturbance in taste may give rise to a negative influence on oral health-related quality of life of BMS patients.⁴⁹

Comorbid medical conditions have been reported in patients with BMS (Table 2). Retrospective analysis of 102 BMS patients reported that 97% of patients have at least one comorbidity and life stressors (62.7%) being the most common. 59.8% of BMS patients have anxiety, 50% with depression, and 42.2% have low back pain.¹ However, many of these medical conditions may be symptomatic of the demographics of BMS patients. Gastrointestinal diseases are one of the most common associated risk factors of BMS.¹⁵ The oral cavity is the second main reservoir of *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) and commonly detected in dental plaque and saliva.⁵⁰ 51.3% of BMS patients reported to have concurrent gastritis compared to 27.5% in the healthy control group, and 15 out of 19 of patients with burning mouth disorders has *H. pylori* infection.⁵¹ A clear association of these diseases are essential to distinguish between a true BMS or ‘BMS like oral burning symptoms’.

96.1% of BMS patients showed unexplained chronic extracephalic pain conditions like fibromyalgia and

visceral pain.⁵² 33% of patients with fibromyalgia syndrome have reported having glossodynia with the average visual analogue score (VAS) of 5/10.⁵³ There have been case reports on vulvodynia coincided or preceded BMS, which both disorders commonly occur in menopausal and post-menopausal females.⁵⁴ Reports on the association of BMS with vulvodynia may be rare as it is not a common symptom that is questioned by the health practitioner and require further exploration. However, in contrast, a systematic review contradicted the claims that there was no evidence for the high co-occurring pain symptoms rate in BMS patients.⁵⁵

There is reported weak evidence between poor sleep quality and pain experienced in 80% of BMS patients with a mean global Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) score of 7.7⁵⁶ and Poor Sleep Quality (PSQI) Index of more than 5.⁵⁷

It was believed that psychological or psychopathological factors play a role in triggering or exacerbating the BMS symptoms. A meta-analysis reported BMS patients to have a high predisposition towards anxiety (odds ratio 2.64) and depression (odds ratio 3.18).⁵⁸ BMS patients may have other psychological profiles, such as social phobia, cancerphobia, hypochondria, and neuroticism.^{58,59} The ongoing high-level oral burning pain will likely have a significant psychological burden on patients affected and the relationship being causative and or resultant of the condition requires clarification.

Aetiopathogenesis

The pathogenesis of BMS remains unclear, but advancement and development on neuropathological and neurophysical studies have shifted the emphasis towards peripheral and central neuropathies rather than psychogenic factors. A better understanding of the pathophysiology of BMS could provide a basis for the development of more effective therapies.

Psychology factors

Prevalence of psychiatric disorders peculiarly anxiety and depression are high in BMS patients, but their role in the pathogenesis of BMS remains unclear. Anxiety and depression are commonly seen in patients affected by functional chronic pain disorders, such as irritable bowel syndrome, recurrent headache, fibromyalgia, chronic back pain, and chronic fatigue syndrome.⁵⁸ 80% of BMS patients have depression, anxiety disorder, and other chronic pain conditions before the onset of BMS.⁶⁰

Anxiety and depression are thought to play a role in the pathogenesis of BMS as pain could be a somatic trait of BMS patients.⁶¹ Psychological assessment using the General Health Questionnaire (GHQ) reported a significantly higher score, particularly in ‘somatic symptoms’ and ‘social dysfunction’ in the BMS group in comparison to

control group. However, quantitative somatosensory testing revealed that there was no significant difference between painful and non-painful tongue site in the BMS group.⁶² Besides, there were no differences in the tactile detection threshold (TDT) and filament-prick pain threshold (FPT) between BMS and healthy control. The GHQ scores did not correlate with the QST score on the painful or non-painful area of the BMS tongue, and the numerical rating scales (NRS) pain intensity.⁶² A functional magnetic resonance imaging study has reported an increase in the functional activity of the neural circuits that regulate depression and anxiety symptoms and is correlated with the functional brain connectivity that regulates the burning pain.⁶³ Therefore, there may be feasibility link between psychological factors in BMS pathogenesis. Further studies are a desire to address the role of personality and cognitive factors in contribution to BMS.

Neuropathic disorders

Advancement in the neuroimaging studies of the brain has allowed a better understanding of the BMS pathophysiology. They have provided consistent evidence for BMS to be a neuropathic disorder of the peripheral and central nervous systems.

Peripheral neuropathy

Neuropathology. Multiple tongue mucosal biopsies studies have exhibited a significant decreased in the intraepithelial nerve fibres density in comparison to healthy control.^{64–66} Thus, this suggests of focal trigeminal small-fibres sensory neuropathy or atrophy of the oral mucosa. Immunohistochemical staining of the tongue biopsies revealed a significant increase in transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1), nerve growth factor (NGF) fibres, and purinergic receptor P2X₃.^{65,67} TRPV-1 fibres are derived from the trigeminal nerve and found in peripheral nociceptive terminals of A δ and C fibres, and centrally in dorsal root and trigeminal ganglia. TRPV-1 is related to the conduction of heat or hot taste (capsaicin) and nociceptive signals. BMS patients clearly have shown a consistently decreased tolerance to noxious heat stimulation, such as capsaicin.⁶⁸ BMS patients' tongue exhibited reduced in cannabinoid receptors CB₁, while the expression of CB₂ is increased.⁶⁹ CB₂ receptors are involved in the antinociception role of the trigeminal system, and this suggests an accelerated role of TRPV1 receptors in BMS. The overexpression of TRPV1 receptors is associated with hypersensitivity, and neuropathic pain may be cause for BMS dysaesthesia symptoms.⁶⁸ P2X₃ ion channel receptors are responsible for eliciting burning pain sensation when it is activated by adenosine triphosphate (ATP).⁷⁰

Non-neuronal cells such as astroglial, fibroblast, Schwann cells synthesised NGF and NGF is transported to the target tissues nerve fibres.⁷¹ NGF plays an important

role in peripheral pain modulation and is upregulated during inflammation. NGF sensitise the peripheral nociceptor and its responsible for TRPV1 and purigenic receptor of ATP (P2X₃) regulation.⁷² The increased in TRPV1 expression may be related to the increase of NGF uptake by target tissues nerve fibres.^{65,72} Increased in the synthesis of NGF has shown to increase nociceptive heat response.⁷² NGF exhibit significant neuroprotective activity in both peripheral and central nervous system neuron degeneration.⁷¹ The decreased in intraepidermal innervation of tongue mucosa,^{64–66} along with the increased in TRPV1 expression and NGF positive nerve fibres⁶⁵ may strengthen BMS peripheral neuropathy mechanism hypothesis. Blocking of NGF actions in treating persistent pain has been demonstrated in animal study models. These studies used anti-NGF therapy in treating sciatic constriction pain model and reported a reduction of mechanical and thermal hyperalgesia.^{73,74}

It has been hypothesised that chorda tympani nerve hypofunction plays a role in the BMS pathophysiology.⁴⁶ Damage to the peripheral nervous system gustatory fibres affects the central inhibitory mechanism, leading to hypersensitivity trigeminal input and could cause the dysgeusia or 'phantom taste'.^{46,75} Grushka and Bartoshuk reported that the density of fungiform papillae was higher in BMS patients than in control and suggested that these high fungiform papillae density could be a risk factor for BMS.⁷⁵ The increased in taste buds' density may explain for 'Supertasters' in BMS patients. However, the opposite has been reported in other studies with no significant difference in the fungiform papillae density between BMS and controls.^{46,76} The absence of chorda tympani nerve innervation was associated with atrophy of fungiform papillae, but there was no substantial loss of taste or tactile in the tongue.⁷⁶

Neurophysiology. BMS patients often complained of taste loss, and this symptom was demonstrated in a study that compared BMS patients and healthy control gustatory sensitivity and capsaicin threshold test. A whole mouth chemogustatory test revealed a reduction in the gustatory sensitivity (sweet, sour, salty and bitter) and increased in lateral tongue capsaicin burning pain threshold in BMS patients.⁷⁷ This supports the hypothesis that peripheral taste nerve fibres damage may contribute to BMS pain following the loss of trigeminal nociceptive transmission. Therefore, taste dysfunction may be an indication of small afferent nerve fibres disorders.^{30,75}

Thermal quantitative sensory testing (QST) has described an increase in heat pain detection thresholds^{64,78} and increased sensitivity to heat pain on the tongue mucosa of BMS patients.⁷⁹ Due to the heterogeneity of BMS patients, QST profiling of BMS abnormalities may be more for an individual patient level.⁸⁰ QST studies highlighted the dysfunction of intraepithelial small nerve fibres by expressing a more pronounce damage of A δ fibres than C

fibres. It is suggested that the degeneration of A δ fibres led to the loss of noxious inhibition of C fibres that were responsible for the persistent burning pain sensation⁸⁰ similar to diabetic neuropathy. Another hypothesis is that damage of A δ taste afferents fibres, which travelled along in the chorda tympani nerve, can cause the pain related to BMS. Hypofunction of A δ fibres of the chorda tympani was revealed by an electrogustometry study that described an elevation of taste detection thresholds of BMS patients' tongue mucosa.^{79,81} The reduction of taste fibres inhibitory control on the afferent stimuli may cause burning pain. The burning phantom pain may be caused by a reduction in the inhibitory control customarily exerted by taste fibres on somatic afferents, acting either peripherally or centrally.

The trigeminal system blink reflex had decreased inhibition in 25% to 36% of BMS patients.⁸² The increase in the electrical thresholds to elicit blink reflex in BMS patients indicate the hypofunction of the trigeminal tactile A β fibres.⁸³ There was a close relationship between the abnormalities of the blink reflex with the duration of disease.

A study using lingual nerve block with lidocaine on 20 BMS patients has 50% of their patients with decreased visual analogue scale (VAS) scores and seven patients reported with no changes or increased in their pain score.⁷⁹ The reduction in pain scores demonstrated the presence of peripheral neuropathy mechanism in BMS patients and the contrary for central neuropathy.

The perceived involvement of both peripheral and central nervous system in the pathogenesis of BMS is demonstrated with the used of topical anaesthetic. Application of 20% benzocaine in the burning area demonstrated significant relief of 'oral burning symptoms' pain, but BMS patients have the opposite outcome.⁸⁴ The topical application of local anaesthesia aggravated the pain in BMS patients. Topical application of dyclonine HCL anaesthetic has reported a mixed result with 21% patients have decreased pain score, and 26 patients experienced no changes or increase in the burning pain.⁸⁵

Central neuropathology

Nigrostriatal dopaminergic pathway plays an important role in central pain modulation, especially in the basal ganglion and sensory cortex.⁸⁶ Neurotransmitter positron emission tomography (PET) study has demonstrated the hypofunction of the nigrostriatal dopaminergic system in BMS patients that prompts the hypothesis of reduced efficacy of endogenous pain inhibitory control in the brain dopamine-opioid system.⁸⁷⁻⁸⁹ The increased availability in dopamine D2 receptors reflects the depletion of dopamine levels that may induce chronic neuropathic pain within the trigeminal distribution.^{87,90} Dopamine D2 receptors (DRD2) gene 957C>T Single Nucleotide polymorphism has been associated with the increased availability of DRD2 in human striatum⁹¹ and the presence of 957T allele

leads to decrease in synaptic dopamine concentration and thus reducing dopamine receptors binding.⁹¹ A study on the influence of DRD2 957TT genotype in orofacial neuropathic pain which consists of 5 BMS patients, 4 atypical facial pain and post-traumatic trigeminal nerve injury, have low painful and non-painful thermal detection threshold.⁹² The prevalence of DRD2 957 TT genotype was significantly approximately twice higher in patients with orofacial neuropathic pain (50%) than the general Finnish population (27%).⁹² Patients with 957TT genotype encounter a twice higher mean numerical rating pain scores than patient with genotype 957CT and 957CC. It is a hypothesis that individuals with DRD2 957TT genotype will have low striatal dopamine tone and are more affected with thermal stimuli.

Patients with Parkinson disease have a similar reduction of dopamine synthesis in their putamen.⁹³ PET scan imaging has demonstrated changes in the Parkinson disease patient cortical pain process sites such as the insula, anterior cingulate cortex and prefrontal cortex.⁹³ This similarity was seen in BMS patients in functional brain imaging. Hence, Parkinson's disease patients may be susceptible to burning mouth syndrome, but the link between BMS and Parkinson disease varies from poor to moderate. The reported prevalence of BMS seen in Parkinson disease was between 4% to 24%.⁹⁴⁻⁹⁶ Kim et al. reported no correlation between patients with BMS and the risk of developing Parkinson disease.⁹⁷ Besides, the evidence on the association of Parkinson disease and BMS were exhibited in deficiency of habitual blink reflex in both groups.^{82,83,98} The level of dopamine increased during the night sleep of Parkinson's disease patient which improved their dopaminergic function during the sleep.⁹⁹ This may explain the increasing BMS pain in the day.⁸⁰ Four patients diagnosed with restless legs syndrome (RLS) have reported having BMS, too.¹⁰⁰ RLS has abnormal blink reflex too and has been associated with Parkinson's disease.¹⁰¹ There were significantly decreased in RLS patients' DR2 in the putamen and increased in tyrosine hydroxylase in the substantia nigra.¹⁰² The increase in tyrosine hydroxylase may represent the iron deficiency and should be interpreted with care as iron deficiency present oral burning symptoms and not a true BMS. Adding together the overlapping features, BMS subgroup phenotype that is related with RLS and Parkinson disease and not responding to conventional BMS therapies, may benefit from dopaminergic drug treatment.^{100,103}

Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) has demonstrated that BMS patients have decreased brain activation in the whole brain, especially in the thalamus. They have lower grey matter volume (GMV) in the bilateral ventromedial prefrontal cortex (VMPFC) and increased functional connectivity between this region and the bilateral amygdala.¹⁰⁴ The functional connectivity between the bilateral VMPFC and the amygdala correlated with the years of BMS illness. fMRI studies revealed that noxious heat stimulation applied at the perioral region evoked

higher activity in the anterior cingulate, medial, prefrontal and insula cortices, which belong to the medial pain system, in BMS patients than in control.^{105,106} Repeated thermal stimulation on the lower lip and not on the palm shows BMS patients have temporal suppression to continuous painful stimuli and lack of pain inhibition against repeated noxious stimuli compared with controls.¹⁰⁶ Thus, it explains the reasons for BMS patients to express a reduction in pain tolerance but no reduction in pain threshold or perception.⁶⁸ Overall, BMS patients displayed brain activation patterns similar to patients with other chronic neuropathic pain conditions. These findings suggest that brain hypoactivity may be an essential feature in the pathophysiology of BMS.

Endogenous descending inhibitory pain modulation facilitates or inhibits chronic pain in human.^{107,108} A disruption in the descending pain modulation pathway are more likely to facilitate¹⁰⁹ and contribute to the development of chronic pain such as fibromyalgia, temporomandibular joint disorders, osteoarthritis and irritable bowel syndrome.^{110–113} Temporal summation (TS) and conditioned pain modulation (CPM) are two psychophysical tests that have been used to investigate central nervous system pain modulation. TS evaluates the ascending pain facilitatory pathways. CPM is dynamic testing on the descending pain inhibitory control and was developed from the diffuse noxious inhibitory control (DNIC) mechanism in animal studies and based on the model 'pain inhibit pain'.¹¹⁴ It has been reported there was non-significant effect of both mechanical TS and thermal CPM test in a reduction of BMS pain score,¹¹⁵ which suggests a dysfunction in BMS endogenous inhibitory pain system.^{80,116} It is unsure if the pain modulation mechanism is disrupted before or after the presence of pain. Central sensitisation may develop from the continuous pain inhibition mechanisms process, responding to the chronic pain.¹⁰⁸ Similar results of BMS mechanical TS are shown in a study using intraepidermal pinprick on the chin between mouth angle and the midface (adjacent to the pain region), which imply impaired inhibitory pain control pathway.¹¹⁷ The BMS TS scores were significantly increased after repetitively noxious stimulation. However, there was no significant difference between BMS and the healthy control group. The mean TS did not reveal a significant reduction upon receiving conditioned stimuli at 40°C and 47°C on the non-dominant hand.

Interestingly, the BMS group has reduced CPM scores during the low-density conditioning noxious stimuli (40°C) but an increased pain rating during a higher density conditioning stimuli (47°C). There was no difference noted between BMS and control group at 40°C. The differences in BMS patient's CPM response may be due to distraction. Distraction may induce a mild but not effective pain inhibition if the conditioning stimuli is of weak strength.^{117,118} However, there was a study stated CPM to be independent of distraction.¹¹⁹ Both studies^{80,117} on BMS TS and CPM demonstrate a suppressed descending inhibitory pain

mechanism in BMS as seen in persistent post-endodontic pain study¹²⁰ and the lack pain habituation which is associated with impaired C fibres.¹⁰⁶ As CPM test and condition stimuli were, commonly performed in the upper or lower limbs and not in the orofacial region, it may suggest that BMS could be a generalised, widespread disorder and is not limited to the tongue or oral cavity.^{79,116}

Adrenal and gonadal steroid hormones, which are synthesised in the central and peripheral nervous system, act as important precursors for the synthesis of neurosteroids. Chronic anxiety, depression, or post-traumatic stress disorder, and menopause or gonadal hormonal imbalance lead to the changes of adrenal and gonadal steroid production. These neurosteroids provide neuroprotective against nervous system injuries and diseases, facilitate nerve regeneration, and synthesise neurotransmitters. Evidence on the involvement of neurosteroid in localisation of orofacial pain came after a report of decreased morning salivary dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) concentration in BMS.^{121,122}

Diagnosis

ICOP has established distinct unambiguous definitions and diagnostic criteria for oral-facial pain disorders/diseases. BMS is identified as an idiopathic orofacial pain and is diagnosed based on the clinical examination and investigation exclusion of local or systemic causative lesions.¹² The characteristic BMS features are superficial intraoral mucosa pain and recurrence of the pain daily for more than 2 hours per day and more than 3 months. Using quantitative and/or qualitative somatosensory testing, BMS is further subgroup to without and with somatosensory changes. This classification will further aid the clinical and research diagnosis of the possibility of BMS as neuropathic pain.

The consistency among studies strongly suggested that BMS remains under-recognised and under-appreciated by both dental and medical professionals.¹ It took an average of 12 to 13 months from the onset of symptoms until a definitive diagnosis of BMS was achieved.^{1,6} Hence, it is crucial that health practitioners differentiate BMS from 'oral burning symptoms' by the exclusion of possible related local and systemic factors (Tables 3 and 4).

Information collected from the patients' pain and medical histories, clinical examinations, and laboratory investigations are summarised to evaluate any possible local or systemic aetiology to the oral burning pain. A comprehensive and systemic methodology diagnostic workup is of particularly important (Table 5) along with somatosensory test (quantitative or qualitative) in diagnosing BMS. Simple chair sides somatosensory screenings on mechanical, thermal, and chemical can demonstrate the possible association of peripheral nerve fibres in BMS (Table 6).¹²³ Despite the increased burden in the health care financial and human resource, it is important to distinguish the difference between BMS and 'oral burning symptoms'.

Table 3. Possible local factors that may cause an oral burning sensation in the mouth.

Possible Local Factors
<p>A. Oral mucosa diseases/lesions/conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fungal infection: candidiasis • Inflammatory conditions: lichen planus; pemphigus/ pemphigoid; geographic tongue
<p>B. Trauma:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mechanical: ill-fitting dentures, sharp edges of teeth or restoration • Chemical: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Patient: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ abrasive toothpaste or mouthwash containing ethyl alcohol ■ medications such as aspirin (placed next to an aching tooth); over the counter preparations that contain phenols, peroxides, and sulfuric acid ■ vitamin C (citrus) ■ acidic drinks ■ high menthol lozenges/cough medicine ○ Dentist: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ dental irrigation solutions such as methylmethacrylate, formaldehyde, formocresol, sodium hypochlorite used during root canal treatment ■ acrylic resin ■ eugenol • Thermal: hot or spicy food and beverage
<p>C. Oral/Parafunctional habits</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tongue thrusting; cheek sucking; over brushing of the tongue • Mouth breather
<p>D. Xerostomia or salivary quality/quantity alteration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consequences of radiotherapy, chemotherapy, Sjogren's syndrome, and salivary gland disorders/diseases • The side effect of drugs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ antihistamines, antidepressants, diuretics, non-steroidal anti-inflammatories, steroids, amphetamines • Smoking
<p>E. Allergies/Contact hypersensitivity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Food and its flavouring or additives • Dyes or fragrances from oral care products • Dental material such as monomer, nickel sulphate, mercury, cobalt, zinc

Hence, the extensive clinical and laboratory investigation that exclude any possible contributable organic diseases and the assessment of sensory nerve dysfunction in BMS with quantitative sensory testing is vital to aid BMS diagnosis and the most effective treatment.

Treatment

As there is still no consensus on the aetiopathogenesis of primary burning mouth syndrome, thus the management of BMS is a challenge. The proposed pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatments did not give consistent and effective outcomes. There is no standardised curative

Table 4. Possible systemic factors that may cause an oral burning sensation in the mouth.

Possible Systemic Factors
<p>A. Nutritional deficiencies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decrease level of iron, zinc, folate, and vitamin B1, B2, B6, B12
<p>B. Endocrine disorders</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diabetes mellitus • Hypothyroidism • Hormonal disorders (adrenal cortisol/gonadal steroids)
<p>C. Autoimmune or Immunological disorders</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sjogren's syndrome, • Lichenoid reaction • Systemic lupus erythematosus
<p>D. Medical diseases</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gastroesophageal reflux disease • Multiple sclerosis • Parkinson disease • Coeliac's disease • Fabry's disease
<p>E. Medications/Drugs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors • Antiretrovirals
<p>F. Peripheral or Central neuropathies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diabetic peripheral neuropathy, neuropathy with renal failure, amyloid and sarcoid polyneuropathy, peripheral neuropathies associated with connective tissue disease, HIV related neuropathy, post-herpetic neuropathy, paraneoplastic sensory neuropathy, post-traumatic peripheral neuropathy, chemotherapy-associated neuropathy
<p>F. Psychology factors</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anxiety, depression, or post-traumatic event stress • Eating disorders – bulimia

treatment for BMS, but purely symptomatic. Holistic assessment and care, including an empathetic and supportive of the patients' complaints approach, are essential.¹²⁴ Patients should be reassured that the symptoms are not imaginary and not related to any form of cancer and to give a realistic expectation on the outcome of BMS treatment.^{2,83,125} This encouraging support will reduce the patient's anxiety, depression, fear, and frustration from multiple unsuccessful treatments.²⁶

BMS patients could take some simple measures upon themselves in easing the pain and the perceived dry mouth. Patients are advised to avoid substances that may irritate the oral mucosa such as highly acidic food or beverage (citrus fruits and juice), mint, cinnamon, tobacco, hot and spicy food, alcoholic beverages, mouthwashes that contain alcohol and toothpaste that contained sodium lauryl sulfate surfactant agent or abrasive substance such as calcium carbonate.^{3,26} Sucking crushed ice and chew sugarless gum or pastille can stimulate saliva secretions and regular sips of cold beverage will help to relieve the dry mouth.^{3,83} These

Table 5. Burning mouth syndrome diagnostic workup.

Diagnostic workup		BMS characteristic	'Oral Burning Syndrome' features
I. History taking a. Pain history	The site, onset, character/intensity, radiates, associated symptoms, time/duration, exacerbating/relieving factors, severity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commonly at the anterior tongue tip, lower lip and palate. • Burning, tingling, and itching sensation. • Superficial pain in the oral mucosa. • The pain lasted more than 2 hours and occurred more than 3 months. • Spontaneous or triggered pain. • Pain increases over the day. • Pain does not interfere with sleep. • Pain is not triggered but commonly relieved by eating, chewing or drinking • May complain of dry mouth, thick saliva and/or metallic and bitter taste. • Associated with history of, anaemia, uncontrolled diabetes mellitus, hypothyroidism, pre or post-menopause. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pain at the site of irritation or lesion. • Burning, tender and soreness sensation. • Continuous pain. • May be triggered by dental treatment, oral hygiene, food and medications. • May disturb sleep if the affected site is accidentally irritated. • Pain is commonly aggravated by hot and spicy food and during mastication. • Difficulty in chewing, swallowing and talking. • Rarely present with taste disturbances. • May complain thick saliva and dry mouth.
b. Review of medical history	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Anaemia. ii. Endocrine diseases such as poorly controlled diabetes or thyroid & hormonal disorders (menopause, hysterectomy). iii. Central or peripheral nerve disorders/symptoms. iv. Immunologic or connective tissue diseases. v. Contact allergies or hypersensitivity. vi. Psychological disorders. vii. Radiotherapy or chemotherapy. viii. Past and current medications. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Healthy oral mucosa which appears to be pinkish, moist, soft and pliable on palpation and no induration, swelling or tumour. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clinical intraoral manifestation of poorly controlled systemic diseases, the side effect of drugs, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, an allergic reaction and psychological stress. • If symptoms are successfully resolved with treatment, it should not be classified as BMS.
II. Clinical examination (Intraoral examination)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Clinical signs <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. infection, inflammation, autoimmune mucosal disorders or disease, tumour b. xerostomia c. parafunctional habit ii. Signs of systemic disease that manifest in the oral mucosa. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Moist oral mucosa with no obstruction of saliva flow from the Stensen duct, Wharton's duct and sublingual duct. • No halitosis. • Healthy oral mucosa appearance, non-tender facial muscles upon palpation and does not associate with temporomandibular disorders. • Healthy oral mucosa appearance. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presence of white and red mucocutaneous lesions; erythematous mucosa; vesicles-bulla lesions; chronic non-healing ulcer and indurated swelling. • Thermal (scald) or chemical burn mucosa. • May have paraesthesia. • May associated with salivary gland diseases such as sialolithiasis, sialadenitis, tumours, viral infection (mumps) and radiation therapy. • May have halitosis. • Signs of active parafunctional habit such as traumatic ulcer; linea alba; tongue indentation or scalloping of tongue edges; tender facial muscles and temporomandibular joint disorders. • Anaemia; glossitis, atrophy of oral mucosa; burning and tender oral mucosa and ulcers. • Poorly controlled diabetes mellitus; dry mouth; soreness or burning of the tongue; ulcers and candidiasis. • Hypothyroidism; macroglossia; glossitis and dysgeusia. • Gastroesophageal reflux: hyperacidity that may cause dental erosion; erythematous, erosion and ulceration of the oral mucosa; burning sensation; taste disturbances and halitosis. • No somatosensory changes or taste dysfunction except in post-traumatic nerve injury and oral cancer.
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> iii. Evaluation of trigeminal nerve (chorda tympani) with chemogustometry or electrogustometry taste test and mechanical sensory tests such as light tactile, 2-point discrimination test and electro stimulator iv. Fungiform papillae count using a digital photograph of the tongue, especially for 'Supertaster'. v. A lingual nerve block with a local dental anaesthetic to distinguish peripheral or central neuropathy, involvement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Altered taste sensation especially loss of bitter taste. • May present with and without somatosensory changes. • Possibility of increased in the fungiform papillae count. • Peripheral neuropathy has complete pain relieved. • Central neuropathy has no changes or an increase in pain. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Atrophy of the tongue or no changes in the fungiform papillae count. • Complete anaesthesia of the anterior 2/3 of the tongue, the floor of the mouth and mandibular lingual gingival.

(continued)

Table 5. (continued)

Diagnostic workup	BMS characteristic	'Oral Burning Syndrome' features
<p>III. Laboratory investigation</p> <p>a. Blood test</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Serum and blood test results within normal range. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decreased in ferritin, iron, vitamin B, folate and zinc serum level is present in iron deficiency or vitamin B anaemia. • Elevated WBC counts may indicate infection or inflammation. Decrease WBC may occur in liver disease, autoimmune diseases (Sjogren's syndrome) • A decrease in RBC counts is presented in anaemia. • MCV increased in vitamin B12 and folate deficiency and hypothyroid. MCV decreased in iron deficiency or chronic inflammatory disorders. • High fasting blood glucose level (>7.0mmol/L) or HbA1C (6.5%) indicates poorly controlled diabetes mellitus. • Decreased in FT3 and FT4 level and increased in TSH levels which indicated hypothyroidism • Positive results of ANA and ENAs tests and high level of RF • Increased in ESR markers
<p>i. Diagnostic screening for nutritional deficiency and anaemia such as ferritin, iron serum level, vitamin B1 B6 B12, and folate, and zinc.</p> <p>ii. Blood dyscrasia: To do FBC (WBC & RBC, MCV)</p> <p>iii. Metabolic screening</p> <p>a. Diabetes mellitus: fasting blood glucose serum level and haemoglobin A1C.</p> <p>b. Thyroid function such as TSH, FT3, FT4.</p> <p>iv. Antinuclear antibodies such as RF, ANA and ENAs; to rule out autoimmune diseases such as systemic lupus erythematosus; rheumatoid arthritis, scleroderma, and Sjogren's syndrome.</p> <p>v. ESR as a marker for inflammation (polymyalgia rheumatica, temporal arteritis, Crohn's disease), and autoimmune diseases</p> <p>vi. General health: FBC, liver function test, and renal function test.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Normal fasting blood glucose level (<6.0mmol/L) or HbA1C (<6.0%) • Low or normal FT4, FT3 and TSH levels. • Negative results in ANA and ENAs autoantibodies test. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Liver dysfunction may be reflected intraorally as atrophic glossitis and xerostomia. • Chronic kidney disease may present with xerostomia, halitosis, dysgeusia and burning mouth. • Normal or reduced oestradiol or testosterone level.
<p>vii. Hormonal imbalance: oestradiol and testosterone.</p> <p>viii. Salivary flow rates to confirm a reduction in saliva flow rate.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Normal range test results • Marked decrease in oestradiol level in perimenopause or absence of oestradiol in post-menopause women • Reduced in testosterone level in men • Generally normal whole saliva flow rates. Subjective perceptual xerostomia by patients. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduction in both unstimulated and stimulated whole saliva flow rate, indicating the possibility of connective tissue diseases such as Sjogren's syndrome or salivary gland diseases
<p>b. Salivary measurements – Sialometry/Sialochemistry</p> <p>c. Oral cultures or biopsies</p> <p>d. Allergy test</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-positive result • Non-positive result 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presence of Candida albicans, bacteria or virus. • A positive reaction towards the test allergen such as dental material and food.
<p>IV. Gastrointestinal disease assessment</p> <p>i. Gastroesophageal reflux test such as upper endoscopy, ambulatory acid (pH) probe test or oesophageal manometry.</p> <p>ii. Cycobrush technique on the dorsal surface of tongue for Helicobacter pylori count.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Healthy oesophageal mucosa. • Normal pH level. • Normal peristalsis contraction and lower oesophageal sphincter constriction. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An upper endoscopy shows oesophagitis, erosion and ulceration of the oesophageal mucosa. • Low pH level • Lower pressure during oesophageal muscle contraction peristalsis and lowers oesophageal sphincter • Positive presence of helicobacter pylori bacteria • May and may not have psychological stress disorder • Referral to psychology or psychiatrist if required
<p>V. Psychology assessment</p> <p>Questionnaires related to anxiety, depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, neuropathic pain, oral health quality.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sign of anxiety, depression and poor oral health quality • Referral to psychology or psychiatrist if required 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Symptomatic relieve after cessation, changes of drug or tapering of the dose.
<p>VI. Medical adjustment</p> <p>Discussion with medical health providers on the patient's medication that may contribute to the pain by changing the dose, switching to a different medication, or temporarily stop the medication to observe if the symptoms resolved.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not influenced by the change in medicine dosages, changes of drug, and cessation of medication. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • May exhibit CNS pathologies such as a tumour or nerve compression
<p>VII. Magnetic resonance imaging</p> <p>To rule out any central nervous system pathology (space-occupying lesions or demyelination) in the presence of sensory neuropathy.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Absence of tumour or nerve demyelination 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • May exhibit CNS pathologies such as a tumour or nerve compression

FBC, Full blood count; WBC, white blood cell; RBC, red blood cell; MCV, mean corpuscular volume; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone; FT3, free tri-iodothyronine; FT4, free thyroxine; ENAs, extractable nuclear antigens; ANA, antinuclear antibody; CNS, central nervous system.

Table 6. Chairside somatosensory screening test.

Stimulus	Type of tests	BMS	'Oral burning symptoms'
Mechanical	Light touch to assess for mechanical allodynia Two-point discrimination Pinpricks assess for mechanical hyperalgesia Pressure gauge	May present with and without sensory changes. Sensory changes are commonly associated with reduced sensory detection and mechanical pain pressure threshold.	Not associated with somatosensory changes except for cases involving peripheral post traumatic nerve injury or neurovascular lesions.
Thermal	Hot and cold food/liquids QST using hot or cold application.	Pain not triggered by thermal change. Patient is much more sensitive to heat pain with QST.	Pain may be aggravated by hot and cold food/liquids Patient may present with or without thermal detection threshold.
Chemical	Sweet, sour, bitter, salty, umami taste assessment Response to topical capsaicin (to evaluate the role of TRPV1 receptors in ongoing pain)	Commonly presence with taste alteration such as dysgeusia with complained of bitter and metallic taste in mouth and possible sensitivity reduction salty and sweet. Symptomatic relieve of pain with capsaicin	Taste disturbance or taste loss may occur in patients with neurovascular lesion or pathology. May relieve intraoral pain in patient with other neuropathic pain such as diabetic neuropathy.

QST, Quantitative thermal testing; TRPV1, transient receptor potential vanilloid 1.

home measures may relieve their oral symptoms and avoid escalation of pain score.

Topical management

Capsaicin is an active component of chilli peppers plants, which produces a burning sensation when it encounters tissue. Capsaicin binds to the TRPV1 nociceptors, and continuous exposure to capsaicin caused desensitisation of afferent nociceptors (C fibres). Topical capsaicin concentration between 0.025% and 0.075%, is usually used and able to reduce the VAS burning pain score by two units.¹²⁶ Systemic capsaicin gave a similar result as a topical application, but epigastric pain has been reported as a major side effect.¹²⁷

Clonazepam is a benzodiazepine anticonvulsant that binds to the GABA_A receptors and activates the descending pain inhibitory pathway in the spinal cord and peripheral nociceptors.¹²⁸ Administration of topical clonazepam decreased the afferent peripheral nerve excitability.¹²⁹ An in-vivo study found GABA_A receptors on the of the rat's tongue nerve fibres, and a 10 minutes topical application of aqueous GABA powder on the oral mucosa increased the mechanical threshold of the tongue.¹²⁸ GABA receptors are found in human oral mucosa, including cranial ganglia, mandible, palate and salivary gland.¹³⁰ Therefore, application of topical clonazepam may express its analgesic effect by activation of peripheral GABA_A receptors and subsequently decrease the tongue afferent fibres sensitivity.^{128,131} Also, GABA_A receptors are found in the taste pathway, and the loss of taste due to dysfunction chorda tympani and/or the lingual nerve may produce an

imbalance pain control that leads to the loss of central pain inhibition.¹³²

A meta-analysis¹³³ on two RCT studies^{131,134} on the use of topical clonazepam in two-thirds of the in BMS patients exhibited a significant reduction of pain scores by 1.5 unit.¹³³ Both studies involved dissolving the clonazepam tablets with a daily dosage range of 0.5 mg to 3 mg in the saliva and withheld for 3 minutes and followed by expectorating it.^{131,134} The sedative side effect of clonazepam is usually well tolerated as the dose used is well below the anticonvulsant therapeutic level.¹³¹ Topical administration of clonazepam via mouth rinse, gel or by sucking the tablet and followed by expectorating the saliva, is a preferred treatment due to its fast onset of analgesia and short duration of action (4 to 5 hours)¹³⁵; to avoid the unwanted sedative, withdrawal effect and dependency.⁸³

Systemic medical approach

Several kinds of psychotropic agents, including tricyclic antidepressants, serotonin, and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs), selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), and benzodiazepines, have been used for BMS patients.¹³⁶ Tricyclic antidepressants (TCA) such as amitriptyline and nortriptyline are considered as the first-line analgesia used in chronic neuropathic pain. Low doses of amitriptyline 10–40 mg per day have been demonstrated to be beneficial in relieving the pain.⁴⁴ A retrospective study that evaluated the efficacy of clonazepam and amitriptyline in the treatment of BMS reported a significant reduction of pain score by 2.2 units and 1.4 units (after 6 weeks), and 2.7 units and 3.4 units (after 3 months) respectively. Clonazepam gave an immediate pain relief effect, but no statistical

differences between both treatments in the reduction of pain.¹³⁷ Both clonazepam and amitriptyline were effective in the treatment of BMS. However, the undesirable side effects of amitriptyline, such as dry mouth and drowsiness, may be poorly tolerated by patients.

Clonazepam inhibits the central neuronal hyperexcitability by binding to the GABA receptors and elevates the serotonergic descending pain inhibition pathway.¹³⁸ Analysis of two retrospective studies^{139,140} and a cohort study¹⁴¹ reported a significant reduction of an average three units pain score with a mean daily clonazepam dosage of 0.5 mg to 1.5 mg. These similar findings were reported in a daily 0.5 mg clonazepam intake randomised control trial,¹⁴² with an effective decrease of 2.5 units of pain score using daily 0.5 mg clonazepam. Amos et al. combined both topical and systemic effect of clonazepam by dissolving the tablet in the mouth before swallowing it and recorded 80% of the patients obtained a 50% reduction in pain score.¹⁴⁰ Clonazepam is generally well tolerated or has mild and/or transient side effects such as tiredness, dizziness, mood changes, forgetfulness, vivid dream and temporary unpleasant taste.^{140,142} However, when a higher dose was used, the associated side effects were intolerable and caused discontinuation of the medication.¹⁴¹ Clonazepam longer half-life may contribute to the lesser withdrawal effect upon discontinuation.¹⁴¹ Barker et al. revealed a 70% reduction of pain in the clonazepam group compare to 55% of BMS patients using diazepam.¹³⁹

If patient unable to tolerate the side effect of clonazepam and TCA, other alternatives, such as gabapentin, alpha-lipoic acid, or cognitive-behavioural therapy, can be used. Anticonvulsants such as gabapentin and pregabalin are often used in neuropathic and somatoform pain, and patients are much more acceptable to the drugs side effects such as dizziness or fatigue. A randomised control double-blind study by Lopez-D'alessandro and Escovich¹⁴³ has reported that the combination used of alpha-lipoic acid (600 mg/day) and gabapentin (300 mg/day) for 2 months demonstrated a definite pain reduction in BMS patient than placebo or single drug used. However, the used of gabapentin in BMS are not well studied, with inconsistent results due to the limited numbers of prospective control trials and small study size. Supportive psychology care, in combination with medications, may improve BMS symptoms to better control and a positive impact on patient's oral health quality.

Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI) and serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRI) are increasingly used in the treatment of BMS. SSRI and SNRI are thought to behave less frequently and have milder adverse reactions compared to TCA. A non-control prospective study revealed that 80% of BMS patients achieved improvement in the BMS symptoms after receiving 12 weeks of 10–30 mg of paroxetine.¹⁴⁴ The use of SNRI has reduced 50% of VAS scores in the BMS patients after 12 weeks of treatment with milnacipran (30 to 60 mg).¹⁴⁵

Treatment with 20 mg to 30 mg per day of duloxetine for 12 weeks reported a desirable outcome in managing non-organic chronic neuropathic pain.¹⁴⁶

Psychological interventions

Chronic pain disorders may not be a fatal disease but affect markedly on the quality of life that may lead to psychiatric comorbidity with a 20% suicidal risk.²¹ The clinician should always be vigilance on patients with suicidal behaviours risk, especially for a patient that has co-occurring of other pain disorders. There is a closed relationship between pain and psychology disorders, but whether they are independent of each, remains unknown. Cognitive behavioural therapy and group psychotherapy are non-pharmacological treatments that could be used alone or in combination with medications in BMS.^{147–151} It is non-invasive and has shown positive results in reducing pain intensity and anxiety in BMS patients.^{147–151} It is believed that cognition, emotion, and behaviour are interlinked, and alteration of this dysfunctional complex can rectify the irrational emotional responses to pain.¹⁵² The behavioural and psychotherapy session aims to enable patients to understand the symptoms and their emotional distress; to be motivated and independent in exploring a more targeted personalised pain management.

Homoeopathic approach

Alpha-lipoic acid (ALA) is an antioxidant that able to eliminate free radicals and exert activity in nerve repair and can be found easily in health supplement shops. BMS, which is associated with stress, has been related to the production of toxic free radicals. A follow-up of 73% BMS patients at 12 months showed an improvement with a 2-month trial of 200 mg alpha-lipoic acid supplement pills.¹⁵³ However, the efficacy of ALA requires further research.

The use of low-level laser therapy (LLLT) or photobiomodulation has shown to relieve the pain symptoms in BMS patients. However, the inconsistency in LLLT methodologies such as irradiation parameter, number of visits and mixed trial results, suggest further studies are required in determining the efficacy of LLLT treating BMS patients.¹⁵⁴ LLLT is a non-invasive chair-side clinical application treatment. It provides analgesics and anti-inflammatory effects by increasing the release of endogenous pain inhibitors such as serotonin and β -endorphins and decreased in the secretion of inflammatory mediators such as prostaglandins and bradykinin.^{155–157} It prevents the depolarisation of peripheral nerve C-fibre by reducing the action potential amplitude and decreased impulse conductivity velocity.¹⁵⁸ Eight randomised controlled trial using low-level laser therapy reported a significant reduction of the BMS patients' VAS pain score at the end of the irradiation therapy. All six studies have different laser parameters with a range of wavelength between 630 nm to 980nm, the

average power density or fluence delivers between 1 J/cm² to 200 J/cm² and an exposure time of 4 seconds to 3 minutes and 51 seconds. The session frequency was reported from once weekly to three times weekly with a total minimum session of 4 and a maximum of 10 sessions.^{159–166} No significant difference in pain score reduction was reported between the types of laser used, LLLT or red laser, and between LLLT groups of different wavelength, fluence and therapy frequency.^{159,166} All three methods showed significant pain score reduction in comparison to control. The sustainability of LLLT efficacy in pain control throughout 1 month and 3 months was reported in 3 studies.^{161,162,164} A study comparing the efficacy of LLLT (980 nm, fluence 10 J/cm², 10 second per point and twice a week for 5 weeks) and topical mouth rinse of 1 mg clonazepam (three times daily for 21 days) reported improvements in pain perception in both studies, but LLLT was statistically superior in VAS score reduction. Despite LLLT significantly reduced the pain at the end of therapy, the level of anxiety and depression or quality of life has no apparent changes.^{162,164,165} However, Valenzuela and Lopez-Jornet reported a significant improved in the quality of health after 2 weeks and 4 weeks of LLLT regardless of the laser doses.¹⁶⁶ Contradictory, LLLT failed to achieve a significant improvement in the BMS patients' pain¹⁶⁷ even with the most LLLT sessions; a total of 20 sessions in 4 weeks. This study also reported a significant decreased in whole salivary proinflammatory interleukins such as tumour necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) and IL-6. This reflects the reduction in the inflammatory process in the oral cavity and the potential of salivary inflammatory mediators as therapy marker in BMS.

Acupuncture is a traditional Chinese medicine technique, and its benefits have been popularised in Western countries as an alternative method for treating pain. A review control trial in Chinese articles that compared the treatment of BMS with acupuncture had presented a significant improvement in symptoms compared with the control.¹⁶⁸ The pain relief period from acupuncture may not be consistent for every patient and require multiple treatment visits.

A randomised control trial using repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) for brain stimulation, published significant pain relief in BMS patients compared with controls after rTMS for 10 days.¹⁶⁹ The favourable outcome from rTMS allows us to confirm that BMS is a neuropathic pain involving the trigeminal nerve. It is non-invasive if the safety guidelines are applied, but further studies need to confirm the use of rTMS.

Conclusion

BMS is a diagnosis by exclusion, as yet a systematic and standardised BMS diagnosis protocol is yet to be established. In distinguishing BMS from 'oral burning symptoms', multiple strategies are required. A consensus in a

stratified approach to assess and diagnose BMS using the agreed ICOP BMS classification should be sought and hopefully ascertain with the extent of centrally or peripherally driven neuropathic and/or nociplastic pain. This approach is required to improve the management of patients with BMS, which, to date, is unsatisfactory. Research must focus on genetic, environmental, and familial factors to better understand the susceptibility of individuals in developing BMS and preventing it where possible.

Article highlights

- The relevance of burning mouth syndrome (BMS) classification as chronic idiopathic pain with its aetiopathogenesis (psychology, neuropathic, and endocrinology disorders), diagnosis, and treatment.
- The relevance of the interdependent relationship between psychological factors, comorbidities or other chronic pain disorders, and the peripheral and central nervous systems in BMS.
- BMS has significant functional and psychological impacts on the patient's quality of life.
- Efficacy of various treatment options concerning the pathophysiology and diagnosis of primary BMS, to provide holistic care to BMS patients.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article: We would like to acknowledge our research grant funder 'Wellcome-Trust' for the BMS project.

References

1. Freilich JE, Kuten-Shorrer M, Treister NS, et al. Burning mouth syndrome: a diagnostic challenge. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol* 2020; 129: 120–124.
2. Scala A, Chechhi L, Montevecchi M, et al. Update on burning mouth syndrome: overview and patient management. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med* 2003; 14: 275–291.
3. Grushka M. Clinical features of burning mouth syndrome. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1987; 63: 30–36.
4. Riordain RN, O'Dwyer S and Christine McCreary C. Burning mouth syndrome – a diagnostic dilemma. *Ir J Med Sci* 2019; 188: 731–734.
5. Klasser GD, Epstein JB, Villines D, et al. Burning mouth syndrome: a challenge for dental practitioners and patients. *Gen Dent* 2011; 59: 210–220.
6. Mignogna MD, Fedele S, Lo Russo L, et al. The diagnosis of burning mouth syndrome represents a challenge for clinicians. *J Orofac Pain* 2005; 19: 168–173.

7. Miller CS, Farag AM, Chmieliauskaite M, et al. Is Burning mouth a syndrome or a disorder? A commentary. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radio* 2019; 127: 361–363.
8. Woda A, Tubert-Jeannin S, Bouhassira D, et al. Towards a new taxonomy of idiopathic orofacial pain. *Pain* 2005; 116: 396–406.
9. International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP). Classification of chronic pain, 2nd edition (revised), <https://www.iasp-pain.org> (2011, assessed 19 April 2020).
10. International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP). IASP orofacial pain fact sheet. Burning mouth syndrome, <https://www.iasp-pain.org> (2016, assessed 19 April 2020).
11. Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS). The international classification of headache disorders, 3rd edition. *Cephalgia* 2018; 38: 1–211.
12. The Orofacial Pain Classification Committee. International classification of orofacial pain, 1st edition (ICOP). *Cephalgia* 2020; 40: 129–221.
13. Kohorst JJ, Bruce AJ, Torgeson RR, et al. The prevalence of burning mouth syndrome: a population-based study. *Br J Dermatol* 2015; 172: 1654–1656.
14. Bergdahl M and Bergdahl J. Burning mouth syndrome: prevalence and associated factors. *J Oral Pathol Med* 1999; 28: 350–354.
15. Netto FOG, Diniz IMA, Grossmann SMC, et al. Risk factors in burning mouth syndrome: a case control study based on patient records. *Clin Oral Investig* 2011; 15: 571–575.
16. Suzuki N, Mashu S, Toyoda M, et al. Oral burning sensation: prevalence and gender differences in a Japanese population. *Pain Pract* 2010; 10: 306–311.
17. Adamo D, Celentano A, Ruoppo E, et al. The relationship between sociodemographic characteristics and clinical features in burning mouth syndrome. *Pain Med* 2015; 16: 2171–2179.
18. Grushka M, Sessle BJ and Miller R. Pain and personality profiles in burning mouth syndrome. *Pain* 1987; 28: 155–167.
19. Rodriguez-de Rivera Campillo E and Lopez-Lopez J. Evaluation of the response to treatment and clinical evolution in patients with burning mouth syndrome. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2013; 18: 403–410.
20. Sardella A, Lodi G, Demarosi F, et al. Burning mouth syndrome: a retrospective study investigating spontaneous remission and response to treatments. *Oral Dis* 2006; 12: 152–155.
21. Tang NK and Crane C. Suicidality in chronic pain: a review of the prevalence, risk factors and psychological links. *Psychol Med* 2006; 36: 575–586.
22. Edwards RR, Smith MT, Kudel I, et al. Pain-related catastrophizing as a risk factor for suicidal ideation in chronic pain. *Pain* 2006; 126: 272–279.
23. Fukushima Y, Kitamura T, Ikami E, et al. A case of burning mouth syndrome leading to suicide 10 days after self-cutting of tongue. *Psychogeriatrics* 2020; 20: 126–128.
24. Kontoangelos K, Koukia E, Papnikolaou V, et al. Suicidal behaviour in a patient with burning mouth syndrome. *Case Rep Psychiatry* 2014; 2014: 405106.
25. Forsell H, Teerijoki-Oksa T, Kotiranta U, et al. Pain and pain behaviour in burning mouth syndrome: a pain diary study. *J Orofac Pain* 2012; 26: 117–125.
26. Nasri-Heir C, Zagury JG, Thomas D, et al. Burning mouth syndrome: current concepts. *J Indian Prosthodont Soc* 2015; 15: 300–307.
27. Klasser GD, Grushka M and Su N. Burning mouth syndrome. *Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am* 2016; 28: 381–396.
28. Lopez-Jornet P, Molino Pagan D, Andujar Mateos P, et al. Circadian rhythms variation of pain in burning mouth syndrome. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2015; 15: 490–495.
29. De Pedro M, López-Pintor RM, Casañas E, et al. General health status of a sample of patients with burning mouth syndrome: a case-control study. *Oral Dis*. Epub ahead of print 10 March 2020. DOI: 10.1111/odi.13327.
30. Ship JA, Grushka M, Lipton JA, et al. Burning mouth syndrome: an update. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1995; 126: 842–853.
31. Imura H, Shimada M, Yamazaki Y, et al. Characteristic changes of saliva and taste in burning mouth syndrome patients. *J Oral Pathol Med* 2016; 45: 231–236.
32. Lee YC, Hong IK, Na SY, et al. Evaluation of salivary function in patients with burning mouth syndrome. *Oral Dis* 2015; 21: 308–313.
33. Hershkovich O and Nagler RM. Biochemical analysis of saliva and taste acuity evaluation in patients with burning mouth syndrome, xerostomia, and/or gustatory disturbances. *Arch Oral Biol* 2004; 49: 515–522.
34. Navazesh M and Christensen CM. A comparison of whole mouth resting and stimulated salivary measurement procedures. *J Dent Res* 1982; 61: 1158–1162.
35. Navazesh M and Kumar SKS. Measuring salivary flow: challenges and opportunities. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2008; 139(Suppl): 35S–40S.
36. Iorgulescu G. Saliva between normal and pathological. Important factors in determining systemic and oral health. *J Med Life* 2009; 2: 303–307.
37. Soares MSM, Chimenos-Küstner E, Subirá-Pifarré C, et al. Association of burning mouth syndrome with xerostomia and medicines. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2005; 10: 301–308.
38. Acharya S, Hägglin C, Jontell M, et al. Saliva on the oral mucosa and whole saliva in women diagnosed with burning mouth syndrome. *Oral Dis* 2018; 24: 1468–1476.
39. Bergdahl M and Bergdahl J. Low unstimulated salivary flow and subjective oral dryness: association with medication, anxiety, depression and stress. *J Dent Res* 2000; 79: 1652–1658.
40. Affoo RH, Foley N, Garrick R, et al. Meta-analysis of salivary flow rates in young and older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2015; 63: 2142–2151.
41. Percival RS, Challacombe SJ and Marsh PD. Flow rates of resting whole and stimulated parotid saliva in relation to age and gender. *J Dent Res* 1994; 73: 1416–1420.

42. Eliasson L, Carlen A, Laine M, et al. Minor gland and whole saliva in postmenopausal women using a low potency oestrogen (oestriol). *Arch Oral Biol* 2003; 48: 511–517.
43. Nagler RM and Hershkovich O. Sialochemical and gustatory analysis in patients with oral sensory complaints. *J Pain* 2004; 5: 56–63.
44. Grushka M and Bartoshuk L. Burning mouth syndrome. *Am Fam Physician* 2002; 65: 615–620.
45. Simčić D, Pezelj-Ribarić S, Grzić R, et al. Detection of salivary interleukin 2 and interleukin 6 in patients with burning mouth syndrome. *Mediators Inflamm* 2006; 2006: 54632.
46. Nasri-Heir C, Gomes J, Heir GM, et al. The role of sensory input of the chorda tympani nerve and the number of fungiform papillae in burning mouth syndrome. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2011; 112: 65–72.
47. Treldal C, Jacobsen CB, Mogensen S, et al. Effect of a local anaesthetic lozenge in relief of symptoms in burning mouth syndrome. *Oral Dis* 2016; 22: 123–131.
48. Braud A, Descroix V, Ungeheuer MN, et al. Taste function assessed by electrogustometry in burning mouth syndrome: a case-control study. *Oral Dis* 2017; 23: 395–402.
49. Braud A and Boucher Y. The relationship between the clinical features of idiopathic burning mouth syndrome and self-perceived quality of life. *J Oral Sci* 2016; 58: 475–481.
50. Adler I, Muiño A, Aguas S, et al. Helicobacter pylori and oral pathology: relationship with the gastric infection. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; 20: 9922–9935.
51. Brailo V, Vuéiaeviae-Boras V, Alajbeg IZ, et al. Oral burning symptoms and burning mouth syndrome-significance of different variables in 150 patients. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2006; 11: 252–255.
52. Mignogna M, Fortuna G, Ruoppo E, et al. Unexplained somatic comorbidities in patients with burning mouth syndrome: a controlled clinical study. *J Orofac Pain* 2011; 25: 131–140.
53. Rhodus NL, Friction J, Carlson P, et al. Oral symptoms associated with fibromyalgia syndrome. *J Rheumatol* 2003; 30: 1841–1845.
54. Petruzzia M, Benedittisa MD, Pastoreb L, et al. Vulvostomatodynia. *Maturitas* 2007; 58: 102–106.
55. Moisset X, Calbacho V, Torres P, et al. Co-occurrence of pain symptoms and somatosensory sensitivity in burning mouth syndrome: a systematic review. *PLoS One* 2016; 11: 0163449.
56. Lee GS, Kim HK and Kim ME. Relevance of sleep, pain cognition and psychological distress with regard to pain in patients with burning mouth syndrome. *Cranio*. Epub ahead of print 24 October 2019. DOI: 10.1080/08869634.2019.1681621.
57. Adamo D, Sardella A, Varoni E, et al. The association between burning mouth syndrome and sleep disturbance: a case-control multicentre study. *Oral Dis* 2018; 24: 638–649.
58. Galli F, Lodi G, Sardella A, et al. Role of psychological factors in burning mouth syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cephalalgia* 2017; 37: 265–277.
59. De Souza FTA, Teixeira AL, Amaral TMP, et al. Psychiatric disorders in burning mouth syndrome. *J Psychosom Res* 2012; 72: 142–146.
60. Taiminen T, Kuusalo L, Lehtinen L, et al. Psychiatric (axis I) and personality (axis II) disorders in patients with burning mouth syndrome or atypical facial pain. *Scandinavian J Pain* 2011; 2: 155–160.
61. Schiavone V, Adamo D, Ventrella G, et al. Anxiety, depression, and pain in burning mouth syndrome: First chicken or egg? *Headache* 2012; 52: 1019–1025.
62. Honda M, Iida T, Kamiyama H, et al. Mechanical sensitivity and psychological factors in patients with burning mouth syndrome. *Clin Oral Investig* 2019; 23: 757–762.
63. Khan SA, Keaser ML, Meiller TF, et al. Altered structure and function in the hippocampus and medial prefrontal cortex in patients with burning mouth syndrome. *Pain* 2014; 155: 1472–1480.
64. Puhakka A, Forssell H, Soimila S, et al. Peripheral nervous system involvement in primary burning mouth syndrome – results of a pilot study. *Oral Dis* 2016; 22: 338–344.
65. Yilmaz Z, Renton T, Yiangou Y, et al. Burning mouth syndrome as a trigeminal small fiber neuropathy: increased heat and capsaicin receptor TRPV1 in nerve fibers correlates with pain score. *J Clin Neurosci* 2007; 14: 864–871.
66. Lauria G, Majorana A, Borgna M, et al. Trigeminal small-fiber sensory neuropathy causes burning mouth syndrome. *Pain* 2005; 115: 332–337.
67. Beneng K, Yilmaz Z, Yiangou Y, et al. Sensory purinergic receptor P2X3 is elevated in burning mouth syndrome. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2010; 39: 815–819.
68. Imamura Y, Shinozaki T, Okada-Ogawa A, et al. An updated review on pathophysiology and management of burning mouth syndrome with endocrinological, psychological and neuropathic perspectives. *J Oral Rehabil* 2019; 46: 574–587.
69. Borsani E, Majorana A, Cocchi MA, et al. Epithelial expression of vanilloid and cannabinoid receptors: a potential role in burning mouth syndrome pathogenesis. *Histol Histopathol* 2014; 29: 523–533.
70. Shinoda M, Kawashima K, Ozaki N, et al. P2X3 receptor mediates heat hyperalgesia in a rat model of trigeminal neuropathic pain. *J Pain* 2007; 8: 588–597.
71. Furukawa S and Furukawa Y. Nerve growth factor synthesis and its regulatory mechanisms: an approach to therapeutic induction of nerve growth factor synthesis. *Cerebrovasc Brain Metab Rev* 1990; 2: 328–344.
72. Pezet S and McMahon SB. Neurotrophins: mediators and modulators of pain. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 2006; 29: 507–538.
73. Ramer MS, Thompson SW and McMahon SB. Causes and consequences of sympathetic basket formation in dorsal root ganglia. *Pain* 1999(Suppl 6): 111–120.
74. Cahill CM, Dray A and Coderre TJ. Intrathecal nerve growth factor restores opioid effectiveness in an animal model of neuropathic pain. *Neuropharmacology* 2003; 45: 543–552.
75. Grushka M and Bartoshuk LM. Burning mouth syndrome and oral dysesthesias. *Can J Diagnos* 2000; 17: 99–109.

76. Naud JM, Benca L, Drangsholt MT, et al. A case-control evaluation of fungiform papillae density in burning mouth syndrome. *Laryngoscope* 2018; 128: 841–846.
77. Just T, Steiner S and Pau HW. Oral pain perception and taste in burning mouth syndrome. *J Oral Pathol Med* 2010; 39: 22–27.
78. Forssell H, Jääskeläinen S, Tenovuo O, et al. Sensory dysfunction in burning mouth syndrome. *Pain* 2002; 99: 41–47.
79. Grémeau-Richard C, Dubray C, Aublet-Cuvelier B, et al. Effect of lingual nerve block on burning mouth syndrome (stomatodynia): a randomized crossover trial. *Pain* 2010; 149: 27–32.
80. Jääskeläinen SK. Pathophysiology of primary burning mouth syndrome. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2012; 123: 71–77.
81. Eliav E, Kamran B, Schaham R, et al. Evidence of chorda tympani dysfunction in patients with burning mouth syndrome. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2007; 138: 628–633.
82. Jääskeläinen SK, Forssell H and Tenovuo O. Abnormalities of the blink reflex in burning mouth syndrome. *Pain* 1997; 73: 455–460.
83. Jääskeläinen SK and Woda A. Burning mouth syndrome. *Cephalgia* 2017; 37: 627–647.
84. Khan J, Anwer M, Noboru N, et al. Topical application in burning mouth syndrome. *J Dent Sci* 2019; 14: 352–357.
85. Formaker BK, Mott AE and Frank ME. The effects of topical anaesthesia on oral burning in burning mouth syndrome. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1998; 855: 776–780.
86. Mylius V, de Andrade DC, Cury RG, et al. Pain in Parkinson's disease: current concepts and a new diagnostic algorithm. *Mov Disord Clin Pract* 2015; 2: 357–364.
87. Jääskeläinen SK, Rinne JO, Forssell H, et al. Role of the dopaminergic system in chronic pain – a fluorodopa – PET study. *Pain* 2001; 90: 257–260.
88. Hagelberg N, Forssell H, O Rinne J, et al. Striatal dopamine D1 and D2 receptors in burning mouth syndrome. *Pain* 2003; 101: 149–154.
89. Hagelberg N, Forssell H, Aalto S, et al. Altered dopamine D2 receptor binding in atypical facial pain. *Pain* 2003; 106: 43–48.
90. Dieb W, Ouachikh O, Durif F, et al. Lesion of the dopaminergic nigrostriatal pathway induces trigeminal dynamic mechanical allodynia. *Brain Behav* 2014; 4: 368–380.
91. Hirvonen MM, Laakso A, Nagren K, et al. C957T polymorphism of dopamine D2 receptor gene affects striatal DRD2 in vivo availability by changing the receptor affinity. *Synapse* 2009; 63: 907–912.
92. Jääskeläinen SK, Lindholm P, Valmunen T, et al. Variation in the dopamine D2 receptor gene plays a key role in human pain and its modulation by transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Pain* 2014; 155: 2180–2187.
93. Blanchet PJ and Brefel-Courbon C. Chronic pain and pain processing in Parkinson's disease. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2018; 87: 200–206.
94. Zlotnik Y, Balash Y, Korczyn AD, et al. Disorders of the oral cavity in Parkinson's disease and parkinsonian syndromes. *Parkinsons Dis* 2015; 2015: 379482.
95. Bonenfant D, Rompré PH, Rei N, et al. Characterisation of burning mouth syndrome in patients with Parkinson's disease. *J Oral Facial Pain Headache* 2016; 30: 318–322.
96. Clifford TJ, Warsi MJ, Burnett CA, et al. Burning mouth in Parkinson's disease sufferers. *Gerodontology* 1998; 15: 73–78.
97. Kim JY, Kim YS, Ko I, et al. Association between burning mouth syndrome and the development of depression, anxiety, dementia, and Parkinson disease. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2020; 146: 561–569.
98. Mendak M, Konopka T, Koszewicz M, et al. Similarities between burning mouth syndrome and Parkinson's disease in selected electroneurophysiological studies. *Adv Clin Exp Med* 2010; 19: 731–738.
99. Van Gilst MM, Bloem BR and Overeem S. 'Sleep benefit' in Parkinson's disease: a systematic review. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2013; 19: 654–659.
100. Prakash S, Ahuja S and Rathod C. Dopa responsive burning mouth syndrome: Restless mouth syndrome or oral variant of restless legs syndrome? *J Neurol Sci* 2012; 320: 156–160.
101. Möller JC, Unger M and Stiasny-Kolster K. Restless legs syndrome (RLS) and Parkinson's disease (PD) – Related disorders or different entities? *J Neurol Sci* 2010; 289: 135–137.
102. Connor JR, Wang XS, Allen RP, et al. Altered dopaminergic profile in the putamen and substantia nigra in restless leg syndrome. *Brain* 2009; 132: 2403–2412.
103. Turrini A, Raggi A, Calandra-Buonaura G, et al. Not only limbs in atypical restless legs syndrome. *Sleep Med Rev* 2018; 38: 50–55.
104. Tan Y, Wu XH, Chen J, et al. Structural and functional connectivity between the amygdala and orbital frontal cortex in burning mouth syndrome: an fMRI study. *Front Psychol* 2019; 10: 1700.
105. Albuquerque RJC, De Leeuw R, Carlson CR, et al. Cerebral activation during thermal stimulation of patients who have burning mouth disorder: an fMRI study. *Pain* 2006; 122: 223–234.
106. Shinozaki T, Imamura Y, Kohashi R, et al. Spatial and temporal brain responses to noxious heat thermal stimuli in burning mouth syndrome. *J Dent Res* 2016; 95: 1138–1146.
107. Apkarian AV, Bushnell MC, Treede RD, et al. Human brain mechanisms of pain perception regulation in health and disease. *Eur J Pain* 2005; 9: 463–484.
108. Yarnitsky D. Role of endogenous pain modulation in chronic pain mechanisms and treatment. *Pain* 2015; 156(Suppl 1): S24–S31.
109. Ossipov MH, Morimura K and Porreca F. Descending pain modulation and chronification of pain. *Curr Opin Support Palliat Care* 2014; 8: 143–151.
110. Ablin JN and Buskila D. Fibromyalgia syndrome – novel therapeutic targets. *Maturitas* 2013; 75: 335–340.
111. Arendt-Nielsen L, Nie H, Laursen MB, et al. Sensitisation in patients with painful knee osteoarthritis. *Pain* 2010; 149: 573–581.

112. Oono Y, Wang K, Baad-Hansen L, et al. Conditioned pain modulation in temporomandibular disorders (TMD) pain patients. *Exp Brain Res* 2014; 232: 3111–3119.
113. Enck P, Aziz Q, Barbara G, et al. Irritable bowel syndrome. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2016; 2: 16014.
114. Yarnitsky D, Arendt-Nielsen L, Bouhassira D, et al. Recommendations on terminology and practice of psychophysical DNIC testing. *Eur J Pain* 2010; 14: 339.
115. Nasri-Heir C, Shigdar D, Alnaas D, et al. Primary burning mouth syndrome: literature review and preliminary findings suggesting possible association with pain modulation. *Quintessence Int* 2017; 49: 49–60.
116. Svensson P, Arendt-Nielsen L and Bjerring P. Response of BSM patients to long duration nociceptive heat, cold pressor and capsaicin stimuli. *J Orofac Pain* 1993; 7: 71.
117. Nishihara C, Watanabe K, Ozasa K, et al. Altered pain modulation to noxious heat thermal stimuli in burning mouth syndrome. *Oral Dis* 2020. DOI: 10.0111/odi.13486.
118. Nir RR, Granovsky Y, Yarnitsky D, et al. A psychophysical study of endogenous analgesia: the role of the conditioning pain in the induction and magnitude of conditioned pain modulation. *Eur J Pain* 2011; 15: 491–497.
119. Moont R, Pud D, Sprecher E, et al. ‘Pain inhibits pain’ mechanisms: Is pain modulation simply due to distraction? *Pain* 2010; 150: 113–120.
120. Nasri-Heir C, Khan J, Benoliel R, et al. Altered pain modulation in patients with persistent postendodontic pain. *Pain* 2015; 156: 2032–2041.
121. Dias Fernandes CS, Salum FG, Bandeira D, et al. Salivary dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) levels in patients with the complaint of burning mouth: a case-control study. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2009; 108: 537–543.
122. Woda A, Dao T and Gremeau-Richard C. Steroid dysregulation and stomatodynia (burning mouth syndrome). *J Orofac Pain* 2009; 23: 202–210.
123. Svensson P, Baad-Hansen L, Pigg M, et al. Guidelines and recommendation for assessment of somatosensory function in oro-facial pain conditions – a taskforce report. *J Oral Rehabil* 2011; 38: 366–394.
124. Nasri-Heir C. Burning mouth syndrome. *Alpha Omegan* 2012; 105: 76–81.
125. Brailo V, Firić M, Boras VV, et al. Impact of reassurance on pain perception in patients with primary burning mouth syndrome. *Oral Dis* 2016; 22: 512–516.
126. Silvestre FJ, Silvestre-Rangil J, Tamarit-Santafé C, et al. Application of a capsaicin rinse in the treatment of burning mouth syndrome. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2012; 17: 1–4.
127. Petrucci M, Lauritano D, De Benedittis M, et al. Systemic capsaicin for burning mouth syndrome: short-term results of a pilot study. *J Oral Pathol Med* 2004; 33: 111–114.
128. Tan SN, Song E, Dong XD, et al. Peripheral GABAA receptor activation modulates rat tongue afferent mechanical sensitivity. *Arch Oral Biol* 2014; 59: 251–257.
129. Olsen RW and Sieghart W. GABA A receptors: subtypes provide diversity of function and pharmacology. *Neuropharmacology* 2009; 56: 141–148.
130. Watanabe M, Maemura K, Kanbara K, et al. GABA and GABA receptors in the central nervous system and other organs. *Int Rev Cytol* 2002; 213: 1–47.
131. Gremeau-Richard C, Woda A, Navez ML, et al. Topical clonazepam in stomatodynia: a randomised placebo-controlled study. *Pain* 2004; 108: 51–57.
132. Bartoshuk LM, Snyder DJ, Grushka M, et al. Taste damage: previously unsuspected consequences. *Chem Senses* 2005; 30(Suppl 1): 218–219.
133. Cui Y, Xu H, Chen FM, et al. Efficacy evaluation of clonazepam for symptom remission in burning mouth syndrome: a meta-analysis. *Oral Dis* 2016; 22: 503–511.
134. Rodríguez de Rivera Campillo E, López-López J and Chimenos-Küstner E. Response to topical clonazepam in patients with burning mouth syndrome: a clinical study. *Bull Group Int Rech Sci Stomatol Odontol* 2010; 49: 19–29.
135. Woda A, Navez ML, Picard P, et al. A possible therapeutic solution for stomatodynia (burning mouth syndrome). *J Orofac Pain* 1998; 12: 272–278.
136. McMillan R, Forssell H, Buchanan JA, et al. Interventions for treating burning mouth syndrome. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016; 11: CD002779.
137. Fenelon M, Quinque E, Arrive E, et al. Pain-relieving effects of clonazepam and amitriptyline in burning mouth syndrome: a retrospective study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2017; 46: 1505–1511.
138. Sellers EM and Khanna JM. Anxiolytics, hypnotics, and sedatives. In: Kalant H and Roschlau W (eds) *Principles of medical pharmacology*. Toronto: BC Decker, 1989, pp. 255–264.
139. Barker KE, Batstone MD and Savage NW. Comparison of treatment modalities in burning mouth syndrome. *Aus Dent J* 2009; 54: 300–305.
140. Amos K, Yeoh SC and Farah CS. Combined topical and systemic clonazepam therapy for the management of burning mouth syndrome: a retrospective pilot study. *J Orofac Pain* 2011; 25: 125–130.
141. Grushka M, Epstein J and Mott A. An open-label, dose escalation pilot study of the effect of clonazepam in burning mouth syndrome. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 1998; 86: 557–561.
142. Heckmann SM, Kirchner E, Grushka M, et al. A double-blind study on clonazepam in patients with burning mouth syndrome. *Laryngoscope* 2012; 122: 813–816.
143. López-D’alessandro E and Escovich L. Combination of alpha lipoic acid and gabapentin, its efficacy in the treatment of burning mouth syndrome: a randomised, double-blind, placebo controlled trial. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2011; 16: 635–640.
144. Yamazaki Y, Hata H, Kitamori S, et al. An open label, noncomparative, dose escalation pilot study of the effect of paroxetine in treatment of burning mouth syndrome. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2009; 107: 6–11.

145. Kato Y, Sato T, Katagiri A, et al. Milnacipran dose-effect study in patients with burning mouth syndrome. *Clin Neuropharmacol* 2011; 34: 166–169.
146. Nagashima W, Kimura H, Ito M, et al. Effectiveness of duloxetine for the treatment of chronic non-organic orofacial pain. *Clin Neuropharmacol* 2012; 35: 273–277.
147. Miziara ID, Filho BC, Oliveira R, et al. Group psychotherapy: an additional approach to burning mouth syndrome. *J Psychosom Res* 2009; 67: 443–448.
148. Matsuoka H, Saito M, Abiko Y, et al. Development of brief cognitive behavioral program intended to improve the pain catastrophizing for burning mouth syndrome. *Japanese J Psychosomatic Dentistry*. 2012; 27: 56–61.
149. Femiano F, Gombos F and Scully C. Burning mouth syndrome: open trial of psychotherapy alone, medication with alpha-lipoic acid (thioctic acid), and combination therapy. *Med Oral* 2004; 9: 8–13.
150. Bergdahl J, Anneroth G and Ferris H. Cognitive therapy in the treatment of patients with resistant burning mouth syndrome: a controlled study. *J Oral Pathol Med* 1995; 24: 213–215.
151. Komiyama O, Nishimura H, Makiyama Y, et al. Group cognitive-behavioural intervention for patients with burning mouth syndrome. *J Oral Sci* 2013; 55: 17–22.
152. Feller L, Fourie J, Bouckaert M, et al. Burning mouth syndrome: aetiopathogenesis and principles of management. *Pain Res Manag* 2017; 2017: 1926269.
153. Femiano F and Scully C. Burning mouth syndrome (BMS): double-blind controlled study of alpha-lipoic acid (thioctic acid) therapy. *J Oral Pathol Med* 2002; 31: 267–269.
154. Al-Maweri SA, Javed F, Kalakonda B, et al. Efficacy of low level laser therapy in the treatment of burning mouth syndrome: a systematic review. *Photodiagnosis Photodyn Ther* 2017; 17: 188–193.
155. Pandeshwar P, Roa MD, Das R, et al. Photobiomodulation in oral medicine: a review. *J Investig Clin Dent* 2016; 7: 114–126.
156. Honmura A, Yanase M, Obata J, et al. Therapeutic effect of Ga-Al-As diode laser irradiation on experimentally induced inflammation in rats. *Laser Surg Med* 1992; 12: 441–449.
157. Hagiwara S, Iwasaka H, Okuda K, et al. GaAAs (830 nm) laser enhances peripheral endogenous opioid analgesia in rats. *Laser Surg Med* 2007; 39: 797–802.
158. Chow R, Armati P, Laakso EL, et al. Inhibitory effects of laser irradiation on peripheral mammalian nerves and relevance to analgesic effects: a systematic review. *Photo-med Laser Surg* 2011; 29: 365–381.
159. Spanemberg JC, López JL, de Figueiredo MAZ, et al. Efficacy of low-level laser therapy for the treatment of burning mouth syndrome: a randomised, controlled trial. *J Biomed Opt* 2015; 20: 098001.
160. Arbabi-Kalati F, Bakhshani NM and Rasti M. Evaluation of the efficacy of low-level laser in improving the symptoms of burning mouth syndrome. *J Clin Exp Dent* 2015; 7: 524–527.
161. Sugaya NN, da Silva EFP, Kato IT, et al. Low intensity laser therapy in patients with burning mouth syndrome: a randomised, placebo-controlled study. *Braz Oral Res* 2016; 30: 108.
162. Arduino PG, Cafaro A, Garrone M, et al. A randomised pilot study to assess the safety and the value of low-level laser therapy versus clonazepam in patients with burning mouth syndrome. *Lasers Med Sci* 2016; 31: 811–816.
163. Bardellini E, Amadori F, Conti G, et al. Efficacy of the photobiomodulation therapy in the treatment of the burning mouth syndrome. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2019; 24: 787–791.
164. Spanemberg JC, Segura-Egea JJ, Rivera-Campillo ER, et al. Low-level laser therapy in patients with burning mouth syndrome: a double-blind, randomised, controlled clinical trial. *J Clin Exp Dent* 2019; 11: e162–e169.
165. Sikora M, Včev A, Siber S, et al. The efficacy of low-level laser therapy in burning mouth syndrome – a pilot study. *Acta Clin Croat* 2018; 57: 312–315.
166. Valenzuela S and Lopez-Jornet P. Effects of low-level laser therapy on burning mouth syndrome. *J Oral Rehabil* 2017; 44: 125–132.
167. Pezelj-Ribarić S, Kqiku L, Brumini G, et al. Proinflammatory cytokine levels in saliva in patients with burning mouth syndrome before and after treatment with low-level laser therapy. *Lasers Med Sci* 2013; 28: 297–301.
168. Yan Z, Ding N and Hua H. A systematic review of acupuncture or acupoint injection for management of burning mouth syndrome. *Quintessence Int* 2012; 43: 695–701.
169. Umezaki Y, Badran BW, DeVries WH, et al. The efficacy of daily prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) for burning mouth syndrome (BMS): a randomized controlled single-blind study. *Brain Stimul* 2016; 9: 234–242.